Expedited Procedure Under 37 CFR §1.116

Application No. 10/533,862 Paper Dated: December 14, 2007

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of November 19, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 2005-051265

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 4-36 have been allowed. Claim 37 has been amended while all of the other claims remain unchanged. No new subject matter is believed to have been added by this Amendment.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. On page 5, paragraph 10 of the Office Action dated July 13, 2007, the Examiner indicated that claim 27, among other claims, would be allowed if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph set forth in the Action and to include all limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims. New claim 37 was added to address this matter. In particular, new claim 37 was intended to be the compilation of claims 1, 21, 22, 26 and 27. However, the limitations of intervening claims 21, 22 and 26 were not included in new claim 37.

Claim 37 has been amended to include the limitation of these intervening claims. It should be noted that in the September 13, 2007 Office Action, claim 27 was not rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, for being indefinite. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.116 (b) the Applicant believes that it is appropriate for the Examiner to enter this Amendment because amended claim 37 is not indefinite and because this Amendment adopts the Examiner's suggestion from the July 2007 Office Action indicating that claims 27 was allowable.

 $\label{eq:continued allowance of claims 1} \ \mbox{and 4-36 and allowance of allowed claim 37} \\ \mbox{are respectfully requested.}$

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

Richard L. Byrne

Registration No. 28,498 Attorney for Applicants 700 Koppers Building

700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Telephone: 412-471-8815 E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com